# Economic Benefit of Addressing Psychosocial Concerns in the Orthopaedic Trauma Outpatient Setting

Natasha M. Simske BS, Sarah B. Hendrickson MEd, Mary A. Breslin MPH, Katie Kurtz MSW, Heather A. Vallier MD MetroHealth System, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

**Objectives:** Mental illness and adverse social circumstances negatively affect recovery trajectory after orthopaedic trauma. However, these concerns are not usually addressed in the outpatient setting. Lack of knowledge regarding professional billing for services directed toward common mental health and social diagnoses remains a barrier. This study will describe practices and revenue related to psychosocial concerns addressed by surgeons in the outpatient setting.

Design: Retrospective cost-analysis study

Setting: Urban level 1 trauma center.

**Patients/Participants:** All patients were seen by a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon from 2020 to 2021.

Intervention: Concurrent access to Trauma Recovery Services programming

**Main outcome measurement:** Revenue as determined by Relative Value Units per Current Procedural Terminology codes multiplied by \$34.61, the Medicare conversion unit for 2022

**Results:** Mean number of outpatient visits was 37 patients/clinic day. Prior to recovery programming, this corresponded to CPT codes: 99243 (n=2), 99244 (n=4), 99245 (n=3), 99024 (n=27), 99214 (n=1) for a weekly average of \$2,474 and a yearly revenue (50 weeks/year) of \$123,679. In 2022, the average clinic day consisted of CPT codes: 99243 (n=0), 99244 (n=2), 99245 (n=7), 99024 (n=0), 99213 (n=2), 99214 (n=6), 99215 (n=20). The corresponding weekly average was \$8,848 for a yearly total of \$442,402. Following institutional changes, post-operative visits within the 90-day global period were often modified to billable codes secondary to addressing psychosocial concerns.

**Conclusion:** Addressing psychosocial concerns in the orthopaedic clinic setting led to a \$318,723/year increase in professional and facility revenue for a single surgeon. This substantial increase in revenue could be extrapolated to other trauma surgeon providers who have access to similar

resources for their patients. The revenue far exceeds the cost of recovery programming.

Level of Evidence: III, economic

Keywords: Trauma, psychosocial, Trauma Recovery

Services, Cost-Analysis, Coding,

(J Ortho Business 2023; Volume 3, Issue:2, Pages 1-7)

## INTRODUCTION

Mental health concerns not limited to depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are pervasive within orthopaedic trauma patient populations<sup>1-3</sup>. When left unaddressed, the negative sequelae of these mental health conditions include heightened pain intensity<sup>4,5</sup>, worse functional status<sup>6-9</sup>, more complications, readmissions, and revision surgeries<sup>10</sup>, and more frequent trauma recidivism<sup>11,12</sup>. Social concerns carry similar weight. Social determinants of health (SDOH), or aspects of a patient's environment-the conditions under which they are born, raised, work, and livehave pronounced implications for their physical and mental health<sup>13</sup>. Examples of SDOH include financial stability, access to food, educational attainment, social support, and neighborhood safety<sup>14</sup>. Given the significant impact of psychosocial phenomena on outcomes, several institutions have implemented programming for trauma survivors aimed at improved screening, education, referral to appropriate ancillary services, and treatment for these concerns<sup>15,16</sup>.

Notable barriers exist to establishing recovery programming. Insufficient trauma system support likely underscores these obstacles, resulting in part from a paucity of evidence in this area until recently<sup>16</sup>. Consequently, surgeons report a dearth of dedicated funding and time constraints when queried regarding developing programming within their facilities<sup>17</sup>. Pervasive, ingrained stigma, whereby surgeons assign higher levels of blame to patients with psychosocial concerns, may also play a role<sup>18</sup>. On the patient side, support services including mental health care are frequently reported as unmet needs, which largely stem from financial barriers to obtaining counseling and pharmacotherapy<sup>19,20</sup>. However, no current published evidence exists, to our knowledge, exploring revenue opportunities from billing for psychosocial care provided in the outpatient setting of an orthopaedic surgeon. Furthermore, no literature exists showing the positive return on investment, in direct revenue, for providing this multidisciplinary care.

Therefore, the objectives of this current study were to first describe the professional and facility billing procedures for social and mental health concerns of a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon in the outpatient setting, and second, to document the revenue associated with this provision of care.

#### METHODS

### Coding and Reimbursement

All outpatient clinic visits for a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon at an urban level 1 trauma center were gathered over a two-year period from 2020-2021. Associated Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes were gathered for these visits. The CPT codes often utilized in this setting were 99212-99215 for outpatient established visits, 99243-99245 for office consultations, and 99202-99205 for outpatient new visits. The corresponding work Relative Value Units (RVUs) and facility RVUS were collected. These were summed to give a total RVU value for each CPT code (Table 1). Revenue projections were calculated by multiplying RVUs by \$34.61, the Medicare conversion per RVU for 2022.

## Psychosocial Resources for Trauma Patients

Trauma Recovery Services (TRS) is a recovery program for trauma survivors that was adapted from resources instituted during the Trauma Collaborative Care Study (TCCS) in 2013 (16,21,22). Programming was adapted over time to meet the evolving needs of trauma patients and their families. Some program elements were added, and others were eliminated or modified<sup>23</sup>. TRS includes print and online health education resources, coaching from licensed professional counselors, peer mentorship from trauma survivors, support groups, and resources for survivors of violence-related injury<sup>23</sup>. It also includes mental health screening for PTSD and related conditions along with referrals for pharmacotherapy and/or counseling<sup>24</sup>. PTSD screening is initiated during the first post-trauma outpatient clinic visit.

	CPT	Description of visit	Work RVU	sits Facility	Total
	Code	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		RVU	RVU
Established outpatient					
*	99212	Office outpatient established sf 10-19 min	0.70	1.06	1.76
	99213	Office outpatient established low 20-29 min	1.30	1.95	3.25
	99214	Office outpatient established moderate 30-39	1.92	2.86	4.78
	99215	min Office outpatient established high 40-54 min	2.80	4.25	7.05
Outpatient consult	77215	office outputent estublished high to 5 t him	2.00		/.05
	99241	Office consultation	0.64	0.93	1.57
	99242	Office consultation	1.34	1.96	3.30
	99243	Office consultation	1.88	2.76	4.64
	99244	Office consultation	3.02	4.41	7.43
	99245	Office consultation	3.77	5.46	9.23
New outpatient					
	99202	Office outpatient new sf 15-29 min	0.93	1.43	2.36
	99203	Office outpatient new low 30-44 min	1.60	2.44	4.04
	99204	Office outpatient new moderate 45-59 min	2.60	3.95	6.55
	99205	Office outpatient new high 60-74 min	3.50	5.36	8.86

iagnoses				
Mental Health		Socioeconomic		
ICD-10-CM		ICD-10-CM		
Codes	Description	Codes	Description	
F41.1	Generalized anxiety disorder	Z55	Problems related to education and literacy	
F41.3	Other mixed anxiety disorder	Z56.0	Unemployment	
F41.9	Anxiety disorder, unspecified	Z56.1	Change of job	
F43.0	Acute stress reaction	Z56.2	Threat of job loss	
F43.10	Post-traumatic stress disorder, unspecified	Z56.4	Discord with boss and workmates	
F43.11	PTSD, acute	Z56.6	Other physical and mental strain related to work	
F43.12	PTSD, chronic	Z57	Occupational exposures to risk factors	
F43.20	Adjustment disorder, unspecified	Z58	Problems related to physical environment	
E12.0	Reaction to severe stress, unspecified	Z59	Problems related to housing and economic	
F43.9	-		circumstances	
F32.9	Major depressive disorder, single episode, unspecified	Z59.00	Homelessness, unspecified	
F33.9	Major depressive disorder, recurrent, unspecified	Z59.2	Discord with neighbors, lodgers, and landlord	
F39	Unspecified mood [affective] disorder	Z59.41	Food insecurity	
F51.01	Primary insomnia	Z59.6	Low income	
F51.02	Adjustment insomnia	Z59.86	Financial insecurity	
F51.05	Insomnia due to other mental disorder	Z60	Problems related to social environment	
F51.09	.09 Other insomnia not due to substance or known physiologic condition		Problems related to upbringing	
	A Z Z	765	Problems related to other psychosocial	
		Z65	circumstances	
		Z65.4	Victim of crime and terrorism	

Table 2. International Classification of Disease-10 diagnosis codes for common mental health and social determinants of health

## Social Determinants of Health

SDOH screening consists of a survey unique to this intuition based on the Centers of Medicare and Medicaid 10question health-related social needs screening tool<sup>25</sup>. It includes 28 questions under nine domains including financial resource strain, food insecurity, intimate partner violence, physical activity, social connection, stress, transportation needs, housing stability, and digital connectivity<sup>26</sup>. This survey is administered during admission as a part of the standard of care. The gathered data is then available in the electronic medical record for reference in the outpatient setting.

Diagnostic Coding of Psychological and Social Concerns

Psychological and social concerns were identified based on available data from mental health screening questionnaires, information obtained from the patient's history, and SDOH information within the electronic medical record. Patients were then referred, as indicated, to appropriate resources or connected with necessary providers. Commonly

utilized International Classification of Diseases, version 10, with Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) codes are shown in Table 2.

#### RESULTS

Over a two-year period, the average number of outpatient visits for a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon was 37 patients per clinic day. Prior to the establishment of recovery programming more than two years earlier, this corresponded to the following CPT codes 99243 (n=2), 99244 (n=4), 99245 (n=3), 99024 (n=27), 99214 (n=1) (Table 3). This was associated with a total RVU of 71.47 for a weekly average revenue of \$2,473.58. Approximating fifty weeks of clinic per year, this totaled \$123,679 in yearly revenue attributed to the professional and facility billings, based on Medicare rates of reimbursement. Notably, the majority of clinic visits were for post-procedural care within the global period (99024), accounting for 73% of encounters. Post-procedure encounters did not generate any billing for Evaluation and Management services in the outpatient setting.

Mean per week

Per year (50

Recovery Services*				
	# of		Total	
CPT codes	patients	RVU	RVU	Revenue
99243	2	4.64	9.28	\$321.18
99244	4	7.43	29.72	\$1,028.61
99245	3	9.23	27.69	\$958.35
99024	18	0	0	\$0
99024	9	0	0	\$0
99214	1	4.78	4.78	\$165.44
Mean per week	37		71.47	\$2,473.58
Per year (50				\$123,679
weeks)				

Table 3. Revenue generated per clinic day prior to Trauma Recovery Services\*

\*Mean RVU amounts are provided for each designated billing code. Medicare rates for RVUs are shown. Total RVUs generated by multiplying the number of patients per RVU. Revenue calculated multiply by \$34.61, the conversion unit for 2022.

Following the establishment of recovery programming and integration of psychosocial resources into the outpatient setting, the following CPT codes were utilized during the average clinic day 99243 (n=0), 99244 (n=2), 99245 (n=7), 99024 (n=0), 99213 (n=2), 99214 (n=6), 99215 (n=20) (Table 4). This was associated with a total RVU value of 255.65 for a weekly revenue of \$8,848.05 and a yearly revenue of \$442,402. This represents a 258% increase in yearly revenue after the practice of addressing and billing for psychosocial concerns was well-established. This resulted in an increase in annual revenue of \$318,723 for the outpatient clinic of a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon in one year.

Following the establishment of recovery programming and integration of psychosocial resources into the outpatient setting, the following CPT codes were utilized during the average clinic day 99243 (n=0), 99244 (n=2), 99245 (n=7), 99024 (n=0), 99213 (n=2), 99214 (n=6), 99215 (n=20) (Table 4). This was associated with a total RVU value of 255.65 for a weekly revenue of \$8,848.05 and a yearly revenue of \$442,402. This represents a 258% increase in yearly revenue after the practice of addressing and billing for psychosocial concerns was well-established. This resulted in an increase in annual revenue of \$318,723 for the outpatient clinic of a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon in one year.

Notably, patients returning post-procedure (often following surgery) for injury were now provided with screening for PTSD and SDOH, as were all trauma patients seen in follow-up. They were provided feedback about the

Recovery Services was well-established*					
CPT codes	# of	RVU	Total	Revenue	
	patients		RVUs		
99243	0	4.64	0	\$0	
99244	2	7.43	14.86	\$514.30	
99245	7	9.23	64.61	\$2,236.15	
99024	0	0	0	\$0	
99213	2	3.25	6.50	\$224.97	
99214	6	4.78	28.68	\$992.61	
99215	20	7.05	141.00	\$4,880.01	

Table 4. Revenue generated per clinic day after Trauma

 wks)
 wks

 \*Mean RVU amounts are provided for each designated billing code.

 Medicare rates for RVUs are shown. Total RVUs generated by

 multiplying the number of patients per RVU. Revenue calculated multiply

 by \$34.61, the conversion unit for 2022.

255.65

\$8,848.05

\$442,402

37

screening results, with the additional assignment of diagnosis and referrals for treatment, if indicated. All patients were also provided educational information about their injury and recovery and were informed about the TRS program elements. Due to the increased complexity of assessment and treatment, the Evaluation and Management codes used were consistent with a higher level than had been previously assigned, i.e. prior to TRS implementation.

## DISCUSSION

The present study utilized a representative sample of a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon's outpatient clinic to demonstrate an increase in yearly revenue from \$123,679 to \$442,402 after recovery programming was well-established. The notable shift came from the twenty-seven patients seen for post-operative or post-hospital discharge visits, previously within the 90-day global period. These were often switched to billable codes secondary to addressing psychosocial concerns after the introduction of TRS. Patients with other CPT codes (non-post-procedural patients) prior to TRS implementation were also often coded at a higher level of complexity due to the additional psychosocial diagnostic and treatment services provided. This study shows the economic feasibility and benefit of supporting a multidisciplinary trauma recovery program that can provide mental and social health care resources and referrals.

Orthopaedic trauma patients often require multidisciplinary services including both mental and social health care given the complex dynamics which both underlie their injury and commonly occur following definitive care. Among patients with traumatic lower-extremity injuries, Archer et al. documented 85% of patients required one support service post-hospitalization, yet 32% reported an unmet need after 12 months<sup>19</sup>. Fifty-three percent of unmet needs were for mental health or vocational services, secondary to patients' belief that the problem would improve on its own or not knowing how to access appropriate services, respectively (19%). These are modifiable concerns when recovery programming is institutionally available. However, the problem may be further reaching still. In a nationally representative population, Jella et al. found that among orthopaedic trauma survivors with severe mental illness, 25% faced financial barriers to counseling and 41% to pharmacotherapy for mental health conditions<sup>20</sup>. Although the hospital system in this study was able to provide mental health care to all patients regardless of insurance status without additional charges, many hospital systems do not have such provision capabilities<sup>20</sup>. This suggests that the provision of screening and referral resources alone may not be sufficient to combat the issue in a broader sense.

Barriers exist on the provider side of mental and social health care provision, as well. Vranceanu et al. found that 90% of surgeons are somewhat or very likely to notice psychosocial factors among their patients, yet only 60% are somewhat or very likely to refer patients for psychological treatment<sup>27</sup>. This is comparable to other reports denoting low rates of identification and treatment of mental health concerns by orthopaedic trauma surgeons<sup>3</sup>. Although lack of time, know-how, and institutional support are important underlying factors, stigma remains a significant barrier. Reichman et al. documented this in their work, observing surgeons' beliefs that psychosocial issues were under a patient's conscious control, possibly stemming from lacking mental toughness<sup>18</sup>. However, professional confidence in this area directly increased with perceived resource availability and among surgeons with training or mentorship in the area<sup>18</sup>. This is consistent with findings from TCCS in which Wegener et al. documented increased surgeon confidence in managing psychosocial sequelae at centers where resources were

available<sup>28</sup>. Lack of knowledge or proficiency in coding for psychosocial care provided in the outpatient setting likely contributes to reduced confidence in this area.

In recent years, the spotlight on physician wellness has intensified. Rightfully so, given that physician burnout is pervasive among surgeons, including orthopaedic surgeons, and this is on the rise<sup>29,30</sup>. Some have purported that burnout may overlap with or be eclipsed by moral injury. In theory, moral injury occurs when physicians observe or fail to act in a way that conflicts with their moral belief system<sup>31</sup>. Therefore, when surgeons realize that their patients require psychosocial services but are ill-equipped to provide these due to lacking system or individual competencies, or a combination of the two, moral injury occurs. However, when physicians feel confident about identifying and treating mental health concerns, they realize higher rates of job satisfaction.

Historically, the provision of mental health care starts at the primary care level. Primary care providers have encountered their own barriers with regard to providing mental health care, specifically with notable low utilization rates of psychology and psychiatric referrals<sup>32</sup>. As a result, some have opted for design and implementation of collaborative care models as a means of delivering integrated behavioral and mental health care. Integrated behavioral health models proffer reductions in healthcare costs stemming from decreased healthcare utilization, as it is well-established that untreated behavioral and mental health conditions contribute to costs via increased medical care utilization<sup>33</sup>. Behavioral health integration also allows for streamlining of care through increased efficiency in screening and treatment<sup>34</sup>. Recent literature suggests that the initiation of collaborative care models generates a minimal increase in cost to the healthcare system<sup>34</sup>. Likely, initial upfront costs required to integrate services diminish as the benefit from a reduction in overall healthcare utilization expands. This study demonstrates that integrating psychosocial care into the specialty clinic setting can result in increased revenue for the hospital system, in addition to the positive benefits patients realize when these concerns are addressed.

This study has several limitations. First, the values presented here are revenue projections, not those collected by

the hospital system. Actual revenue would vary between institutions depending on the payer mix. Systems with a greater proportion of publicly insured patients (Medicare, Medicaid) and uninsured patients would yield less revenue. Those with greater proportions of workers' compensation patients or those with commercial insurance would yield more revenue. Practices with a more favorable payer mix would realize higher revenue from these shifts in coding practices. Second, the findings here are representative of a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon and therefore do not accurately represent variability in practice patterns. Finally, the psychosocial care provided at this institution is not necessarily representative of that available within other systems. After nearly a decade of its availability, TRS has a breadth of screening tools to identify, provide education, and address a wide range of mental and social sequelae after acute traumatic injury.

## CONCLUSION

This study adds to the growing body of literature supporting the development of trauma recovery programming and showcasing its positive benefits, including its economic feasibility. Addressing psychosocial sequelae in a representative sample of a single orthopaedic trauma surgeon's outpatient clinic led to a projected 258% increase in yearly revenue from \$123,679 to \$442,402 after recovery programming was well-established at the institution. The increase in revenue is attributed to psychosocial diagnostic and treatment services and/or referrals provided that allowed for coding at a higher level of complexity or for billable codes among post-procedural patients previously within the 90-day global period. Future research should expand on the economic feasibility of programming to include charges and billing by hospital systems, as well as investigation of cost versus revenue on the inpatient side of recovery program allotment.

### REFERENCES

1. Muscatelli S, Spurr H, O'Hara NN, et al. Prevalence of depression and posttraumatic stress disorder after acute orthopaedic trauma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthop Trauma 2017;31:47–55.

2. Crichlow RJ, Andres PL, Morrison SM, et al. Depression in orthopaedic trauma patients. prevalence and severity. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006;88:1927–1933.

3. Weinberg DS, Narayanan AS, Boden KA, et al. Psychiatric illness is common among patients with orthopaedic Polytrauma and is linked with poor outcomes. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2016;98:341–8.

4. Archer KR, Abraham CM, Obremskey WT. Psychosocial factors predict pain and physical health after lower extremity trauma. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2015;473:3519–3526.

5. Kugelman D, Qatu A, Haglin J, et al. Impact of Psychiatric Illness on Outcomes After Operatively Managed Tibial Plateau Fractures (OTA-41). J Orthop Trauma. 2018;32(6):e221-e225.

6. Grogan Moore ML, Jayakumar P, Laverty D, et al. Patient-reported outcome measures and patient activation: what are their roles in orthopedic trauma? J Orthop Trauma. 2019;33:S38–S42.

7. Jayakumar P, Teunis T, Vranceanu AM, et al. Psychosocial factors affecting variation in patient-reported outcomes after elbow fractures. J Shoulder Elb Surg. 2019;28:1431–1440.

8. Simske NM, Hermelin MJ, Vallier HA. Impact of Psychosocial and Economic Factors on Functional Outcomes After Operative Calcaneus Fractures. J Orthop Trauma. 2021;35(11):e423-e428.

9. Belayneh R, Haglin J, Lott A, et al. Underlying Mental Illness and Psychosocial Factors Are Predictors of Poor Outcomes After Proximal Humerus Repair. J Orthop Trauma. 2019;33(9):e339-e344.

10. Foster BD, Kang HP, Buser Z, et al. Effect of Mental Health Conditions on Complications, Revision Rates, and Readmission Rates Following Femoral Shaft, Tibial Shaft, and Pilon Fracture. J Orthop Trauma.

11. Koleszar JC, Childs BR, Vallier HA. Frequency of recidivism in patients with orthopedic trauma. Orthopedics. 2016;39:300–306.

 Wan JJ, Morabito DJ, Khaw L, et al. Mental illness as an independent risk factor for unintentional injury and injury recidivism. J Trauma. 2006;61:1299–1304.

13. World Health Organization. (2021). COVID-19 and the social determinants of health and health equity: evidence brief. World Health Organization. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/348333. Accessed January 31, 2023.

14. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Social Determinants of Health. Healthy People 2030 [health.gov]. Available at: https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/social-determinants-health. Accessed January 30, 2023.

 Sinkler MA, Furdock RJ, Vallier HA. Treating trauma more effectively: A review of psychosocial programming. Injury. 2022;53(6):1756-1764.
 Stinner D, Hendrickson SB, Vallier HA. Trauma System Support to

Facilitate Recovery. J Orthop Trauma. 2022;36(Suppl 5):S6-S9.

17. Bradford AN, Castillo RC, Carlini AR, et al. Barriers to implementation of a hospital-based program for survivors of trau- matic injury. J Trauma Nurs 2013;20:89–99 quiz 100-1.

18. Reichman M, Bakhshaie J, Grunberg VA, et al. What Are Orthopaedic Healthcare Professionals' Attitudes Toward Addressing Patient Psychosocial Factors? A Mixed-Methods Investigation. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2022;480(2):248-262.

19. Archer KR, Castillo RC, MacKenzie EJ, et al; LEAP Study Group. Perceived need and unmet need for vocational, mental health, and other support services after severe lower-extremity trauma. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91(5):774-780.

20. Jella TK, Cwalina TB, Vallier HA. Concurrent Mental Illness and Financial Barriers to Mental Health Care Among a Nationally Representative Sample of Orthopaedic Trauma Survivors. J Orthop Trauma. 2022;36(12):665-673.

21. Wegener ST, Pollak AN, Frey KP, et al. The Trauma Collaborative Care Study (TCCS) [published correction appears in J Orthop Trauma. 2017 Sep;31(9):e308]. J Orthop Trauma. 2017;31 Suppl 1:S78-S87.

22. Major Extremity Trauma Rehabilitation Consortium (METRC). Early Effects of the Trauma Collaborative Care Intervention: Results From a Prospective Multicenter Cluster Clinical Trial [published correction appears in J Orthop Trauma. 2020 Apr;34(4):e153]. J Orthop Trauma. 2019;33(11):538-546.

23. Simske NM, Rivera T, Breslin MA, et al. Implementing psychosocial programming at a level 1 trauma center: results from a 5-year period. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open. 2020;5(1):e000363. Published 2020 Jan 21.

24. Joseph NM, Benedick A, Flanagan CD, et al. Risk Factors for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in Acute Trauma Patients. J Orthop Trauma. 2021;35(6):e209-e215.

25. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services AHC health-related social needs screening tool. Available at:

https://innovation.cms.gov/files/worksheets/ahcm-screeningtool.pdf. Accessed January 31, 2023.

26. Breslin MA, Bacharach A, Ho D, et al. Social Determinants of Health and Patients With Traumatic Injuries: Is There a Relationship Between Social Health and Orthopaedic Trauma? [published online ahead of print, 2022 Nov 23]. Clin Orthop Relat Res.

27. Vranceanu AM, Beks RB, Guitton TG, et al. How do Orthopaedic Surgeons Address Psychological Aspects of Illness?. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2017;5(1):2-9.

28. Wegener ST, Carroll EA, Gary JL, et al. Trauma Collaborative Care Intervention: Effect on Surgeon Confidence in Managing Psychosocial Complications After Orthopaedic Trauma. J Orthop Trauma. 2017;31(8):427-433.

29. Jackson T, Morgan J, Jackson D, et al. Trends in Surgeon Wellness (Take a Sad Song and Make It Better): A Comparison of Surgical Residents, Fellows, and Attendings. Am Surg. 2019;85(6):579-586.

30. Shanafelt TD, West CP, Sinsky C, et al. Changes in Burnout and Satisfaction With Work-Life Integration in Physicians and the General US Working Population Between 2011 and 2017. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94(9):1681-1694.

31. Dean W, Talbot S, Dean A. Reframing Clinician Distress: Moral Injury Not Burnout [published correction appears in Fed Pract. 2019 Oct;36(10):447]. Fed Pract. 2019;36(9):400-402.

32. Goodrich DE, Kilbourne AM, Nord KM, et al. Mental health collaborative care and its role in primary care settings. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2013;15(8):383.

33. Miller BF, Ross KM, Davis MM, et al. Payment reform in the patientcentered medical home: Enabling and sustaining integrated behavioral health care. Am Psychol. 2017;72(1):55-68.

34. Jolly JB, Fluet NR, Reis MD, et al. Review of behavioral health integration in primary care at Baylor Scott and White Healthcare, Central Region. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent). 2016;29(2):131-136.